RECAP OF LAST PRESENTATION

- Multiple recommendations made, no actions taken
- Revisiting issues requiring Board action or approval
GRANTING 5-STAR SANCTIONS

- Per Board Meeting discussion, NSC can grant 5-Star Sanctions
SANCTIONING CONFLICT RESOLUTIONS

- If an RSC receives a sanction request on the same date as a previously sanctioned 3, 4, or 5-star event anywhere in the country, sanction shall not be granted without the concurrence of the NSC.

- NSC should inform both the RSC and director of a 0-2 star event if a 3-4 star sanction is received for the same weekend.

- If an RSC feels he cannot resolve a conflict within his region for any reason, including conflict of interest, the conflict shall be resolved by the NSC.

- If the NSC feels he cannot resolve a conflict for any reason, including conflict of interest, the conflict shall be resolved by the TAC.

- If the TAC feels they cannot resolve a conflict for any reason, including conflict of interest, the conflict shall be resolved by the USATT Board of Directors.

- Request approval by Board to include in Tournament Guide.
The NSC shall have the right to overturn a sanction request approved by an RSC.

The TAC shall have the right to overturn a sanction request approved by NSC.

Per our bylaws, USATT Board can overturn any decision by a committee.

Request approval by Board to include in Tournament Guide.
SANCTIONING DOUBLES-ONLY EVENTS

- There shall be no requirement for players in any event to pay ratings fees if they participate in only doubles.

- There shall be no requirement for directors to pay processing fees for those players.

- Doubles-only events can be sanctioned in order for clubs to have insurance and promote their events via USATT schedule on website.

- Request approval by Board to include in Tournament Guide.
TAC does not recommend “Sanctioning” Sandpaper-only events

TAC recommends allowing unsanctioned events be added to USATT event calendar for a fee, clearly marked as unsanctioned events.

Request approval by Board to include in Tournament Guide, cost structure needed.
TAC/ORAC Recommend deleting the requirement that USATT sanctioned events accept hardbat rackets without complying with the rules for other rackets. (Color rule, racket coverage, ITTF logo)

Above is only applicable during standard events, not specialty hardbat only events

Suggest change is effective 1/1/2013

Request Board take this for action
RATING RECOMMENDATIONS

- TAC Recommends that all matches at the US Nationals/US Open capable of being rated shall be submitted for ratings
  - League Competition
  - Not Hardbat, LIHA, or any other novelty event
- Request Board action
NSC APPOINTMENT

- No clear responsibility within bylaws
- Suggest that this is a Board responsibility, and should be the same schedule as TAC membership appointments
- Request Board action
PLAYER PASS FOR FOREIGN PLAYERS

- Currently no fee for players who are members of foreign associations to participate in USATT events
- Certain associations have restrictive membership (e.g., JTTA)
- Allow unlimited purchase of tournament passes with proof of Foreign residence
- Request approval by Board to include in Tournament Guide
STAR LEVELS

- Provides a roadmap to lead tournament directors toward improved event quality
- Prize money is an insufficient measure
Consider changing the sanction fee structure. Flat is ideal, but USATT revenue would change.

Some TAC members recommend a trial period

Request approval by Board to include in Tournament Guide
THANKSGIVING 2013

- NATTC had reduced participation
- Butterfly Teams had less participation than hoped
- Both tournaments are considering changing locations next year
- NSC has a conflict of interest
- TAC members are split on recommendation
  - Some recommend protecting NA Teams, and not allowing other team events that weekend in future years.
  - Others recommend an open market philosophy
- Estimated star levels for both tournaments with no changes
  - NATTC 4-star
  - Butterfly Teams 3-star
- TAC requests that Board step in and determine action